



Making sense of *A Sense of Purpose*



Board perspectives

Heading into proxy season, the January letter from BlackRock chairman and CEO Laurence Fink to CEOs has captured the attention of the business community. Entitled *A Sense of Purpose*, the letter urges corporations to focus on their social purpose and long term strategies.

As head of the world's largest asset manager, Fink made clear BlackRock's expectation for a new model of shareholder engagement, "that strengthens and deepens communication between shareholders and the companies that they own." His words were applauded by those focused on driving greater corporate social responsibility; others called his views "misguided" or merely wishful and without teeth, honing in on BlackRock's position as an index fund manager. Whether or not directors agree with Fink's views, boards would be well-advised to read the letter and consider how to respond to it in the context of their own companies.

Major takeaways

First, recognize that Fink's message is consistent with his prior letters—full-throated attacks on short-termism, reminding boards regarding their obligation to pursue the long-term interest of the patient capital which he represents. But his use this year of the phrase "social purpose" has conjured up myriad feelings from readers—including the fear of discounting profit-making for social initiatives. This fear is unfounded. Fink makes it clear in his letter that today, in order to sustain financial performance, companies must protect their license to operate, which means showing positive contributions to society.

Second, "passive" funds are no longer passive with their proxy votes. Fink's letter notes that

investors' increasing use of index funds has driven a "transformation in BlackRock's fiduciary responsibility and the wider landscape of corporate governance." His letter urges corporations and their leaders to do exactly what he is doing in response to macro trends. "[As a result of government and market failures]," he writes, "society increasingly is turning to the private sector and asking that companies respond to broader societal challenges." There is immense pressure on asset managers to use private ordering and to demonstrate their positive contributions to society. Through the letter and follow-up communication, Fink and BlackRock are demonstrating their own accountability. It makes business sense.

Third, Fink is doubling down on this new model of shareholder engagement to go beyond casting proxy votes. He expects companies and boards to have meaningful engagement with shareholders. "If engagement is to be meaningful and productive—if we collectively are going to focus on benefiting shareholders instead of wasting time and money in proxy fights—then engagement needs to be a year-round conversation about improving long-term value." Fink notes he is doubling his engagement staff (already the largest in the business) to fill the expected uptick in meetings.

How should boards respond?

Boards should embrace the tenets of the letter. It is a declaration for long-termism over short-termism. Fink believes that firms make bad short-term decisions and sacrifice long-term value creation when they have poorly articulated or simply suboptimal strategies. "[A] central reason for the rise of activism—and wasteful proxy fights—is that companies have not been explicit enough about their long-term strategies," writes Fink.

Boards should:

- **Prepare for meaningful engagement.** BlackRock is increasing its capacity for engagement. Companies should do the same. Boards should ensure engagement staff are well-prepared and well-equipped to have an effective discussion. BlackRock broadcasts its priorities and concerns (e.g., website, public statements). The appropriate members of management must be prepared to discuss the key issues—and address the social purpose question.
- **Review the strategic framework** for long-term value creation. Ensure you have a clear, concise, and convincing strategy story and that management and the board can communicate it effectively.
- **Prepare for director-investor engagement.** Having an independent director meet with shareholders is no longer just a nice offer, it is expected. Boards and management should discuss how and when those interactions will take place.

BlackRock specifically states that they want to know if the board has reviewed and affirmed the strategic framework. Part of that strategic framework should include an understanding (and mitigation and/or management) of broader societal impact on your business. For example, can the company address how broad, structural trends such as wage growth, automation, or climate change will affect its business?

About the KPMG Board Leadership Center

The KPMG Board Leadership Center champions outstanding governance to help drive long-term corporate value and enhance investor confidence. Through an array of programs and perspectives—including KPMG’s Audit Committee Institute, the WomenCorporateDirectors Foundation, and more—the Center engages with directors and business leaders to help articulate their challenges and promote continuous improvement of public- and private-company governance. Drawing on insights from KPMG professionals and governance experts worldwide, the Center delivers practical thought leadership—on risk and strategy, talent and technology, globalization and compliance, financial reporting and audit quality, and more—all through a board lens. Learn more at kpmg.com/blc.

Contact us

kpmg.com/blc

T: 1-877-576-4224

E: us-kpmgmktblc@kpmg.com

kpmg.com/socialmedia



The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. Although we endeavor to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. No one should act upon such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation.

© 2018 KPMG LLP, a Delaware limited liability partnership and the U.S. member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International"), a Swiss entity. All rights reserved. The KPMG name and logo are registered trademarks or trademarks of KPMG International. NDPPS 768476